

Sinclair
Broadcasting's
decision to force
their stations to
air an anti-Kerry
documentary days
before the election
is a clear example
of the dangers of
media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the
public airwaves free
of charge, and is
obligated by law to
serve the public
interest. The public
interest is a fair
and balanced news
reporting agency,
not a politically
motivated
corporation.

This action by the
Sinclair Group is
clearly "thumbing
their nose" at the
laws already in
effect. They seem to
be saying that they
can do anything they
wish because they
are large and
untouchable.

Sinclair's actions
show why we need to
strengthen media
ownership rules, not
weaken them. They
show why the license
renewal process
needs to involve
more than a returned
postcard. Thank you.